

The Symbolism of Resistance in The Thought of Emir Abdelkader

Dr. Amrane Soria

– University of Saida Dr Moulay Tahar
soria.amrane@univ-saida.dz

Dr. Boutebal Abdelkader

– University of Mustapha Stambouli Mascara Algeria
boutebalabdelkader@gmail.com

Abstract

Intellectual resistance constitutes one of the deepest sociological phenomena produced by the era of European colonialism in the Maghreb region. Notwithstanding, its study from an integrated theoretical perspective still lacks analytical frameworks that bring together the historical and sociological dimensions simultaneously. This study seeks to comprehend the emancipatory intellectual context established by Emir Abdelkader by employing a global sociological model that embodies the symbolism of the resistant and founding leader. The research adopted a methodological approach that combines historical and sociological analysis, drawing on Bourdieu's concepts of symbolic capital and Anderson's theory of collective identity as procedural tools for examining the trajectory of resistance and grounding its intellectual foundations. The findings of the study revealed that the trajectory of resistant symbolism associated with Emir Abdelkader represents an intellectual model that can be analyzed and employed in examining the construction of the cognitive context of the history of resistance from a sociological perspective, and that the Emir constitutes a highly influential symbolic reference in establishing a collective emancipatory consciousness that transcends temporal and geographical boundaries.

Keywords: Resistance, The symbolism of resistance, Emancipatory thought.

Introduction:

The European colonial era in the Maghreb region constituted a profound civilizational turning point that reshaped the features of societies and produced various forms of resistance; these forms were not limited to the military sphere but extended to encompass intellectual, symbolic, and identity-based structures. This era gave rise to exceptional human figures that embodied, concurrently, the act of resistance and an intellectual vision that transcended the immediate present. At the forefront of these figures stands Emir Abdelkader of Algeria, who represents a rare sociological case deserving of in-depth study beyond its traditional historical frameworks. This phenomenon cannot be understood in isolation from its civilizational and social context, as resistance became rooted in an accumulated cultural and religious structure whose influence extends across centuries of collective formation (Saber, 2026). For, the Algerian space was therefore not a mere site of military occupation; in fact, it carried an integrated symbolic system that contributed to generating the energy of resistance and conferring legitimacy upon it.

The sociological study of forms of resistance falls within a broader endeavor aimed at rereading history from within, that is, from the perspective of the social actors themselves rather than from the perspective of the dominant powers that produced their own archives and counter-narratives. (Stephanie, 2025) Besides, recent studies have revealed the fragility of the epistemological frameworks that governed the production of historical knowledge concerning colonized societies, particularly when

¹ Received: 22/05/2026 ; Accepted : 23/09/2026 ; Published : 03/10/2026

© 2026 The Author. Published by AVADA-CROMA. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, adaptation, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original author and source are properly credited.
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

variables such as gender and ideological affiliation intervene in shaping interpretation and excluding marginalized actors (Saliha, 2021). Considered from this angle, rereading the figure of Emir Abdelkader from a critical standpoint is not merely a historical recovery, but rather an epistemological practice that resists epistemic colonialism itself (Eugene, 2019). Within this framework, questioning how the symbolism of the Emir accumulated and transformed into a collective intellectual capital becomes both a legitimate and pressing sociological question.

Over the past decades, Arab and Maghreb sociology has witnessed increasing efforts to approach phenomena of resistance and identity; notwithstanding, these efforts have not yet succeeded in establishing coherent theoretical frameworks that connect local heritage with major theoretical contributions. Some studies have focused on the institutional dimension, such as zawiyas and Sufi orders, as spaces of resistance and cultural continuity (Lotfi, 2025), yet they have rarely connected this to the concepts of symbolic capital that give the phenomenon its full theoretical dimensions. Other studies have likewise examined forms of education and resistant discourse in colonial Algeria (Rabah, 2025), without addressing the manner in which a leader becomes a symbolic reference that transcends his temporal presence and establishes a collective identity that transcends place and history. This gap is further widened by the fact that researchers who have addressed the phenomenon of leadership and liberation have rarely employed contemporary sociological analytical tools to examine the experiences of the nineteenth century (Antonio, 2020), which makes Bourdieu's theory of symbolic capital and Anderson's concept of imagined collective identity a fertile field whose analytical possibilities have not yet been fully exhausted.

Previous Studies:

This research brings together a number of intellectual and theoretical trajectories addressed in previous academic literature from multiple perspectives; these can be classified into major themes that complement one another to form the epistemological foundation from which this work proceeds.

The first theme concerns the relationship between collective identity and resistance in colonial contexts. Giving that studies on colonial Algeria have revealed that resistance was never solely a military act, but was instead intertwined with systems of identity and collective consciousness. In this context, Aissaoui examined discourses concerning Muslim education in colonial Algeria from the late nineteenth century to the beginning of the twentieth century, explaining how the educational sphere became an arena of struggle over cultural identity and symbolic power in opposition to the French assimilation project. (Rabah, 2025) Correspondingly, Belmesous addresses the issue of historical knowledge production in the Algerian context, highlighting the gender and political biases that distort the representation of historical actors, thereby raising fundamental questions about the mechanisms through which collective memory is constructed and reshaped (Saliha, 2021). This approach is further reinforced by Richardson's argument that coloniality, as an epistemic structure, continues to dominate research methodologies and knowledge production, thus necessitating a radical critique of prevailing analytical frameworks (Eugene, 2019).

The second theme centers on symbolic capital and power in Islamic and Sufi contexts, which constitutes a central approach to understanding the experience of Emir Abdelkader. Sour analyzed the historical relationship between Sufi orders (Sara, 2023), zawiyas, and the state in Algeria, demonstrating how Sufism constituted symbolic and social capital that religious actors employed in negotiating with or resisting political authority (Eugene, 2019). This perspective intersects with the study by Gunner, which examined the political and social roles of Sufi orders in modern contexts, revealing the continued organizational capacity of Sufism as a form of symbolic capital in confronting the state (Ezgi, 2020). In parallel, Raza offers an intriguing theoretical approach that draws connections between Sufi spirituality and political and social agency in contexts of domination and exploitation, thereby opening analytical avenues for understanding the intertwining of the spiritual and emancipatory dimensions in the Emir's thought (Shozab, 2022). The study by Nour al-Din (Saber, 2026) added another dimension to this issue by examining the symbolic role of horses in shaping cultural and military identity in Ottoman Algeria,

indicating how material resources can be transformed into symbolic capital in the construction of power and identity (Saber, 2026).

The third theme relates to emancipatory leadership and cultural resistance in confronting domination. In view of the fact that, Jimenez-Luckey's study contributed to developing the concept of colonial emancipatory leadership (Jasmine, 2024) as a form of challenge to the social order through the mobilization and deployment of collective identity, a theoretical framework that clearly resonates with the model represented by the Emir (Antonio, 2020). by the same token, Iqtadar contributes to this framework through his examination of the pattern of conservative anti-colonial resistance (Humeira, 2021)

Methodology:

This research adopted an inductive approach based on reviewing previous studies related to the subject of resistance and its connection to identity and nationalism in the thought of Emir Abdelkader, as an appropriate method for theoretical studies of a sociological and historical nature. The process of collecting the scholarly material relied on documentary observation as a tool, whereby the theoretical and conceptual frameworks presented in these studies were identified and their intellectual backgrounds traced. This made it possible to extract interpretive patterns related to the construction of symbolic capital and the formation of collective identity within contexts of anti-colonial resistance. Further, these studies encompassed a diverse range of works addressing the religious, political, and social dimensions of resistance in Algeria and its surrounding regions, particularly those concerning the role of Sufism, identity, and power in shaping collective consciousness (Lotfi, 2025), as well as studies that addressed issues of leadership and the construction of cultural identity in confronting colonial projects (Antonio, 2020) and those concerned with transformations in religious thought and its relationship to social and political structures (Ezgi, 2020). Studies examining the influence of symbolic heritage and cultural practices in shaping the identity of resistance groups were also incorporated (Saber, 2026), alongside works addressing educational discourse and forms of colonial control within resistance societies (Rabah, 2025). These studies were employed as theoretical and analytical sources for inductively developing the conceptual framework of the research, rather than as field data subject to measurement. With regard to source documentation and the regulation of referencing procedures, this work followed the American Psychological Association (APA) system, seventh edition, in order to ensure scholarly accuracy and methodological consistency in attributing the ideas and sources used.

The Study Results and their Discussion:

This study revealed that the experience of Emir Abdelkader transcends, in its sociological depth, the boundaries of a limited historical event, forming an integrated epistemological model that addresses contemporary questions concerning the nature of resistance and the mechanisms through which it persists in confronting colonial powers (Andrea, 2019). The application of Bourdieu's concept of symbolic capital demonstrated that comprehensive resistance is not built through military force alone, but derives its durability from a coherent symbolic system that brings together religious charisma, political legitimacy, and identity-based discourse within a single structure. In juxtaposition, the application of Anderson's theory of collective identity revealed that the transformation of dispersed individuals into an effective resistance group was not the product of the military circumstance, but rather the result of systematic symbolic reproduction that established a form of social solidarity transcending tribe and region. Emphatically, military defeat itself did not bring the resistance project to an end; instead, it was transformed into cultural and intellectual resistance that reproduced collective memory and established what may be called a "resistance society." On that account, Emir Abdelkader is not merely a historical model, but an epistemological model that redefines the relationship between the individual and power, between defeat and resilience, and between time and space in the sociology of liberation. Based on these findings, future research branches out in several directions worthy of in-depth exploration. A comparative examination of Maghrebi and Mashriqi models of resistance in light of the same theoretical frameworks deserves broader methodological attention, particularly with regard

to the mechanisms through which religious symbolic capital is employed in shaping collective identity within different colonial contexts. Equally important, tracing the influence of the Emir's intellectual discourse on subsequent liberation movements calls for a more specialized study employing methods of textual analysis and historical sociology. Furthermore, the larger question remains before researchers: How can societies resist not only through weapons, but also by constructing symbols that persist after the disappearance of the state and remain effective in reshaping collective consciousness across generations?

Conclusion

The experience of Emir Abdelkader remains a living sociological model because it provides answers to contemporary questions concerning the nature of resistance and the mechanisms through which it persists. This experience demonstrated that comprehensive resistance is not built through weapons alone, but through identity, organization, and symbolism, it further demonstrated that the transformation of the individual into a resistance group occurs through the reproduction of symbols and the creation of social solidarity. Ultimately, it proved that resistance continues after military defeat by transforming into cultural and intellectual resistance that reproduces collective memory.

As a final point, it can be said that Emir Abdelkader was not merely a military leader or a religious leader, but a sociological architect who constructed a unique experience in the history of human resistance. He transformed resistance from a military reaction against occupation into a comprehensive symbolic structure that reproduced Algerian identity and laid the foundations for what may be called a "resistance society." His symbolism manifested itself on three levels: the symbolism of the leadership figure, which combined religious and political charisma; the symbolism of religious-political discourse, which redefined collective identity; and the symbolism of place and time, which transformed resistance from a passing historical event into an enduring collective memory.

Consequently, Emir Abdelkader is not merely a historical model, but an epistemological model that redefines the relationship between the individual and power, between defeat and resilience, and between place and time in the sociology of liberation.

List of References

1. Andrea, M. W. (2019). *What's New with Numbers? Sociological Approaches to the Study of Quantification*.
2. Antonio, J.-L. (2020). *Decolonial leadership for cultural resistance and social change: Challenging the social order through the struggle of identity*.
3. Eugene, T. R. (2019). *On the coloniality of global public health*.
4. Ezgi, G. (2020). *NGOization of Islamic Education: The Post-Coup Turkish State and Sufi Orders in Africa South of the Sahara*.
5. Humeira, I. (2021). *Conservative Anti-Colonialism: Maududi, Marx and Social Equality*.
6. Jasmine, K. G. (2024). *Positionality Statements as a Function of Coloniality: Interrogating Reflexive Methodologies*.
7. Lotfi, S. (2025). *Sufism, Zawiyas, and the State: The Evolution of Sufism in Algerian Politics*.
8. Rabah, A. (2025). *Schools, control and resistance in colonial Algeria: discourses on Muslims' education from the late nineteenth to the early twentieth century*.
9. Saber, N. D. (2026). *Mounted Power and Imperial Authority: The Military, Cultural, and Symbolic Role of the Horse in the Formation of Cavalry and Identity in Ottoman Algeria (16th–Early 19th Centuries)*.
10. Saliha, B. (2021). *Politics and Gender Bias in the Production of Historical Knowledge: Reassessing Muslim Loyalism during the Algerian War of Independence*.
11. Sara, K. (2023). *Contemporary Art and Sufi Aesthetics in European Contexts*.

12. Shozab, R. (2022). *The Sufi and the Sickle: Theorizing Mystical Marxism in Rural Pakistan*. .
13. Stephanie, V. L. (2025). *The Archive of Displacement: Vernacular History and Urban Cemeteries in Oran, Algeri*. Algeria.