

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Enhancing Communicative Performance within Media Channels: A Study of News Management and Media Decision-Making

Mostafa Bendehina

Azzaytuna University, Tarhuna, Libya
localelbayadh32@gmail.com

Abstract

This article examines the Impact of artificial Intelligence applications on enhancing communicative performance within media channels, focusing on two key dimensions: news management and media decision-making. Drawing on a theoretical and analytical approach grounded in the literature on digital transformation, organizational communication, and algorithmic journalism, the study explores how Intelligent systems contribute to Improving information flow, accelerating data processing, and supporting planning and editorial processes within media institutions. The analysis indicates that integrating AI technologies into organizational structures enhances Internal coordination efficiency, strengthens responsiveness to emerging events, and facilitates data-driven decision-making. However, this transformation also raises concerns regarding the limits of algorithmic reliance, its implications for editorial independence, and the potential prioritization of data logic over professional and normative considerations. The study concludes that advancing communicative performance in contemporary media environments requires a hybrid organizational model that balances technological support with human professional judgment and editorial responsibility.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Communicative Performance, News Management, Media Decision-Making, Organizational Communication, Digital Transformation.

Introduction

The contemporary media landscape is undergoing a profound transformation driven by the rapid development of artificial intelligence technologies. These technologies are no longer limited to supporting technical operations; rather, they have extended their influence to the organizational structure of media institutions, news management practices, and editorial decision-making mechanisms. The advancement of machine learning and big data analytics has reshaped the working environment within media organizations, making intelligent systems active partners in information gathering, trend analysis, audience behavior prediction, and the prioritization of news coverage (Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 25)

This transformation represents a shift from a traditional management model based primarily on human expertise and professional intuition to a hybrid model in which decision-making is supported by algorithms and data analysis. Napoli points out that media automation affects not

Received: 22/05/2026 ; Accepted : 23/09/2026 ; Published : 03/10/2026¹

© 2026 The Author. Published by AVADA-CROMA. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, adaptation, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original author and source are properly credited.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

only content production but also extends to the redistribution of power within media organizations, where human decisions intersect with computational processing. In this context, news management is no longer merely an organizational process; rather, it has become a complex process in which technical, professional, and strategic dimensions intersect.

Furthermore, communication performance within media organizations—including the efficiency of information flows, the effectiveness of coordination among departments, and the speed of response to events—is increasingly influenced by the extent to which artificial intelligence tools are employed in data management and analysis. The literature indicates that media organizations that have integrated data analytics systems into their organizational structures have been able to improve the efficiency of their editorial processes and strengthen their capacity to make decisions based on accurate quantitative indicators (Beckett, 2019, p. 24)

However, this development raises a number of theoretical questions: Does the increasing reliance on artificial intelligence enhance institutional rationality in media decision-making, or could it lead to the erosion of professional and value-based considerations in favor of a data-driven logic? Does artificial intelligence contribute to improving communication performance as an organizational tool, or does it reshape power relations within media organizations in ways that may affect the autonomy of editorial decision-making?

Building on this research problem, this article seeks to analyze the impact of artificial intelligence on the development of communication performance within media channels, with a particular focus on two key dimensions: news management and media decision-making. The study adopts a theoretical and analytical approach based on the literature on algorithmic journalism, organizational communication theories, and digital transformation in media institutions, with the aim of providing a balanced interpretive analysis that takes into account both the technological and institutional dimensions.

First: The Significance, Objectives, and Research Questions of the Study

1. Significance of the Study

The significance of this study stems from the rapid transformations taking place in the media field as a result of integrating artificial intelligence technologies into the organizational structures of media channels. The impact of these technologies is no longer limited to the technical aspects of production; rather, it has extended to internal coordination mechanisms, news management, and editorial decision-making. Napoli points out that media automation contributes to the redistribution of roles and power within media organizations, thereby affecting the very nature of organizational processes. From this perspective, the theoretical significance of this study lies in its attempt to analyze the impact of artificial intelligence on communication performance as a complex organizational process in which technological and professional dimensions intersect.

The practical significance of the study is also evident in the growing tendency of media channels to adopt data analytics systems and algorithmic decision-support tools with the aim of improving efficiency and accelerating responses to events (Beckett, 2019, p. 24) However, this trend raises questions concerning the limits of reliance on algorithms and their impact on the autonomy of media decision-making, which makes this study directly relevant to the contemporary institutional context.

2. Objectives of the Study

This article seeks to achieve the following objectives:

To analyze the conceptual framework of the relationship between artificial intelligence and organizational communication within media institutions.

To explore the impact of artificial intelligence on the development of news management within media channels.

To examine the implications of employing intelligent systems for the media decision-making process.

To provide a balanced analytical perspective that integrates the technical and professional dimensions in the development of communication performance.

To propose organizational approaches that enhance the integration between algorithmic support and human editorial judgment.

1. Research Questions

The study is guided by the following main research question:

To what extent does the use of artificial intelligence applications contribute to the development of communication performance within media channels, and what are the implications of this use for news management and media decision-making?

This main question gives rise to several sub-questions:

What theoretical frameworks explain the relationship between artificial intelligence and organizational communication in media institutions?

How does the use of intelligent systems affect news management mechanisms within media channels?

What types of transformations occur in the media decision-making process in light of the increasing reliance on data and algorithms?

What professional and organizational challenges are associated with integrating artificial intelligence into institutional communication performance?

Second: Conceptual Framework – Artificial Intelligence and Organizational Communication within Media Channels

Analyzing the impact of artificial intelligence on communication performance within media channels provides an entry point for understanding the transformations that have affected the organizational structure of media institutions in the context of digital transformation. To theoretically frame this analysis, it is necessary to clarify the concepts of artificial intelligence and organizational communication and to examine the nature of the interactive relationship between them within the institutional media context.

1. The Concept of Artificial Intelligence in the Media Context

Artificial intelligence refers to computer systems capable of simulating certain human cognitive abilities, such as learning, reasoning, pattern analysis, and data-driven decision-making (Norvig, 2021, p. 4). In the media field, artificial intelligence is manifested in applications for big data analysis, recommendation systems, trend prediction tools, and software designed to support editorial decision-making.

Diakopoulos emphasizes that artificial intelligence in media organizations is not limited to content production; rather, it extends to processes of filtering, prioritization, and the formulation of editorial policies, thereby making AI an organizational actor within the institution. Similarly, Napoli argues that media automation redistributes power within the organizational structure, with algorithms becoming part of the decision-making process.

Accordingly, artificial intelligence in media channels represents both a cognitive and organizational support tool, extending beyond the boundaries of technological use to influence patterns of internal coordination and decision-making.

2. The Concept of Organizational Communication in Media Organizations

Organizational communication is defined as the process through which information and meanings are exchanged within an organization, thereby ensuring coordination among its various units and

contributing to the achievement of its objectives (Miller, 2015, p. 13). In media organizations, organizational communication takes on a particular character due to the nature of news work, which requires rapid information flows and precise coordination among editorial, technical, and administrative departments.

McQuail points out that communication performance within media organizations is linked to the effectiveness of information flows, the clarity of communication channels, and management's ability to make decisions based on accurate data. From this perspective, any technological development that affects the flow of information within an organization will necessarily have an impact on the quality of its communication performance.

1. The Interaction between Artificial Intelligence and Organizational Communication

In the contemporary media environment, artificial intelligence (AI) has become an influential element in the structure of organizational communication, contributing to:

Accelerating information flow: by analyzing data in real time and providing management with immediate indicators of events or audience trends.

Improving internal coordination: through content management systems that enable more efficient information sharing among departments.

Supporting decision-making: by providing recommendations based on the analysis of viewing and interaction patterns (Beckett, 2019, p. 24).

However, this interaction raises a theoretical question concerning the nature of communicative authority within the organization: Does decision-making remain the product of human interaction among organizational actors, or does it become driven by computational mechanisms that determine the possible alternatives?

Carlson points out that the integration of algorithms into the media work environment reshapes the criteria of efficiency and success, such that decisions are assessed according to analyzable digital indicators. This may lead to a gradual shift from the logic of "professional judgment" to that of "computational rationality."

2. The Theoretical Dimensions Explaining the Transformation

The impact of artificial intelligence on organizational communication within media channels can be explained through three theoretical approaches:

A. Institutional Digital Transformation Approach

This approach argues that technology reshapes organizational structures and leadership patterns within institutions, transforming them from traditional hierarchical models into more flexible, data-driven networks (Napoli, 2014, p. 350).

B. Managerial Rationality and Decision-Making Approach

From the perspective of decision-making theory, intelligent systems contribute to reducing uncertainty by providing accurate quantitative data, thereby enhancing what may be referred to as "technologically augmented bounded rationality," that is, improving the quality of decision-making within the limits of the available information (Miller, 2015, p. 298).

C. Communicative Power Approach

This approach assumes that controlling the flow of information constitutes a form of power within the organization. With algorithms becoming an intermediary between data and decision-makers, the balance of communicative power within media channels is consequently transformed (Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 182).

Third: Artificial Intelligence and News Management within Media Channels

News management within media channels represents the core of institutional operations, encompassing planning, information gathering, determining coverage priorities, task allocation, and monitoring implementation. With the integration of artificial intelligence applications, these processes have undergone substantial transformations that have affected both their procedural structure and organizational logic.

1. Artificial Intelligence and the Improvement of News Information Flow

News management relies on the continuous and rapid flow of information from multiple sources. Data analytics systems and algorithms have contributed to accelerating news monitoring processes by tracking digital platforms, analyzing audience trends, and identifying emerging issues in real time.

Diakopoulos notes that artificial intelligence tools are capable of processing massive amounts of data beyond human capacity, enabling newsrooms to establish coverage priorities based on real-time indicators. Beckett likewise emphasizes that media organizations adopting data analytics have been able to improve their responsiveness to breaking events and enhance coordination efficiency among departments.

Accordingly, artificial intelligence contributes to narrowing the time gap between an event and the editorial decision-making process, which is a crucial factor in the television environment characterized by intense real-time competition.

1. Restructuring Editorial Planning Processes

Traditionally, news planning relied on professional experience and daily editorial meetings. However, in an AI-supported environment, planning has become increasingly based on quantitative indicators, such as viewing ratings, engagement analytics, and predictive data.

Napoli argues that the integration of algorithms into planning processes leads to a shift from an “editorial intuition” model toward a “data-driven decision-making” model. This does not imply eliminating the role of editorial judgment, but rather redefining it within a more analytical framework that relies more heavily on digital indicators.

Nevertheless, this transformation raises the question of whether coverage priorities are determined by criteria of public importance or by indicators of digital attractiveness. This, in turn, reopens the issue of balancing professional considerations with market-driven logic.

2. Supporting Resource Allocation within the Newsroom

Another function of news management is the efficient allocation of human and technological resources. In this regard, intelligent systems contribute to analyzing previous production patterns and providing recommendations concerning team allocation and publication timing.

The literature indicates that Decision Support Systems reduce the degree of managerial uncertainty by providing alternatives based on data analysis (Miller, 2015, p. 298). In the media context, such systems can enhance organizational rationality in resource allocation, particularly in television channels operating according to complex broadcasting schedules.

3. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Newsroom Culture

The impact of artificial intelligence is not limited to procedural processes; it also extends to the professional culture within the newsroom. The adoption of digital analytical tools promotes a culture of “continuous measurement,” in which editorial decisions are evaluated on the basis of analyzable performance indicators.

points out that this transformation may redefine the criteria of success within media organizations, making digital measurability an integral part of evaluating performance quality. In television channels, this may be reflected in increased emphasis on content that achieves high viewing ratings or generates greater audience engagement.

4. Limits of Influence and the Problem of Overreliance

Despite the organizational benefits that artificial intelligence provides for news management, excessive reliance on digital indicators may lead to the marginalization of professional judgment **(Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 182)**emphasizes that intelligent systems require continuous human oversight to ensure that they do not deviate from editorial standards.

Therefore, news management in the contemporary media environment requires an integrated model that combines algorithmic support with editorial expertise, while ensuring that ultimate responsibility for decision-making remains in the hands of news management.

Fourth: Artificial Intelligence and Media Decision-Making

Media decision-making is a complex process in which editorial, organizational, strategic, and ethical considerations intersect. In media channels, decision-making is not limited to selecting a topic or formulating a headline; rather, it encompasses determining the coverage agenda, allocating resources, assessing risks, and establishing priorities in accordance with the political and social context. With the integration of artificial intelligence into institutional structures, media decision-making has increasingly evolved within an environment that relies heavily on data analysis and algorithms.

1. From Professional Intuition to Data-Supported Decision-Making

Traditionally, media decisions were based on the experience and professional intuition of editorial leaders, a model that can be described as “discretionary judgment.” However, the digital transformation has led to the emergence of a new model based on what is known as “Data-Driven Decision Making.”

(Napoli, 2014, p. 342)points out that media automation enables management to access accurate quantitative indicators concerning audience behavior, viewing patterns, and engagement expectations, thereby enhancing managerial rationality and reducing the degree of uncertainty. **(Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 25)**likewise explains that predictive analytics systems can provide recommendations regarding publication timing or the angle of coverage based on the analysis of previous data.

However, this transformation does not imply the elimination of professional intuition; rather, it entails its reformulation within an environment in which quantitative data are available to support or guide decision-making.

2. Artificial Intelligence and the Redistribution of Power within the Media Organization

Decision-making within media channels has traditionally been characterized by a hierarchical structure, with editorial authority concentrated at specific leadership levels. However, the integration of intelligent systems indirectly redistributes this authority, as algorithms become an influential intermediary between data and decision-makers.

(Carlson, 2015, p. 424)argues that the introduction of automation into the journalistic workplace redefines the concept of professional authority, whereby digital indicators become part of the criteria used to assess decisions. **(Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 182)**also points out that algorithms may influence the options available to decision-makers, even when they do not independently make the decision themselves.

Accordingly, it can be argued that artificial intelligence does not exercise direct authority; rather, it reshapes the decision-making environment and its boundaries through the data and analyses it provides.

3. Supporting Prediction and Media Risk Management

In the television context, media decision-making is sometimes associated with assessing the risks related to broadcasting particular content or adopting sensitive coverage. In this regard, predictive systems become particularly important as they analyze potential audience reactions and anticipate patterns of engagement.

The literature indicates that decision-support systems reduce uncertainty by providing alternative scenarios based on the analysis of previous data (Miller, 2015, p. 298) In the media environment, these systems can...

These systems can assist management in making more balanced decisions regarding the timing of coverage and the degree of emphasis placed on a particular issue.

However, excessive reliance on digital predictions may lead to prioritizing audience safety and predictability at the expense of editorial boldness, which may negatively affect the media's critical role.

1. The Problem of Autonomy under Algorithmic Decision-Making

Despite the organizational benefits offered by artificial intelligence, its integration into media decision-making raises questions about the limits of editorial autonomy. If decisions become primarily based on engagement indicators and digital data, value-based criteria related to the public interest may gradually lose their prominence.

(Napoli, 2014, p. 350) points out that automation may reinforce market-oriented logic within media organizations, whereby priority is given to content that generates the highest audience ratings. In this context, the main challenge lies in maintaining a balance between computational rationality and value-based rationality.

2. Towards a Hybrid Model of Media Decision-Making

The analytical examination demonstrates that the model most consistent with the requirements of the contemporary media environment is a "hybrid decision-making model," which combines algorithmic analysis with human editorial judgment. Within this model, data are used as a decision-support tool rather than as a substitute for professional assessment.

(Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 182) emphasizes the importance of keeping humans at the center of the decision-making process, while subjecting intelligent systems to standards of transparency and accountability. Accordingly, the effectiveness of decision-making within media channels should not be measured by the extent to which technology is relied upon, but rather by the organization's ability to deploy it within a clear ethical and regulatory framework.

Fifth: A Comprehensive Synthetic Analytical Reading

The preceding themes reveal that artificial intelligence within media channels is no longer merely an "operational tool" for improving certain procedures; rather, it has become a structural component that is reshaping organizational communication, influencing news management, and participating—to varying degrees—in the media decision-making environment. However, understanding this impact requires a synthetic analytical approach that goes beyond the binary perspective of viewing artificial intelligence either as an inevitable form of progress or as a direct threat, toward an analysis that clarifies the conditions under which it can enhance performance as well as the limits of its associated risks.

1. The Logic of Enhancement: Artificial Intelligence as a Lever for Communication Performance

The analysis demonstrates that artificial intelligence enhances communication performance within media channels through three interconnected mechanisms: improving the efficiency of information flows, enhancing internal coordination, and supporting decision-making through verifiable data. By virtue of its ability to process data in real time, AI contributes to reducing communication gaps between organizational units and increasing the speed of response to events. This is consistent with the logic of media automation as a process of re-engineering organizational workflows (Napoli, 2014, p. 342)

(Beckett, 2019, p. 24) likewise confirms that the institutional use of artificial intelligence technologies is often associated with improving operational efficiency and reorganizing work procedures within newsrooms.

Accordingly, artificial intelligence becomes a tool for “institutional enhancement” when it functions as a system that supports the exchange of information within the institution, rather than as a substitute for professional judgment.

1. The Logic of Threat: When Algorithmic Support Becomes Normative Authority

Conversely, risks emerge when artificial intelligence shifts from a support function to a function of “normative guidance” in decision-making, whereby digital indicators become the primary—or exclusive—criterion for determining coverage priorities and evaluating performance. **(Carlson, 2015, p. 424)** points out that automation may redefine the criteria for success within media organizations on the basis of measurability, thereby opening the door to prioritizing data-driven logic over professional values.

This threat manifests itself in two main possibilities:

Erosion of editorial independence: This may occur if the channel’s agenda becomes a reflection of engagement and viewership metrics rather than a response to the requirements of the public interest **(Napoli, 2014, p. 350)**

Contraction of the discretionary dimension of journalistic work: Many editorial decisions are inherently difficult to reduce to quantitative formulas; rather, they require contextual and ethical judgment.

Thus, it can be argued that artificial intelligence becomes a threat when it is treated as a “reference for decision-making” rather than as “input into decision-making.”

2. Redistribution of Power: From the “Editorial Center” to the “Hybrid System”

A synthetic reading shows that the introduction of artificial intelligence indirectly redistributes power within media channels, as algorithms become a cognitive intermediary between events and decision-makers. explains that one of the most significant challenges associated with algorithmic media is accountability: an algorithm may influence a decision without its impact being fully visible or readily interpretable, thereby deepening what is known as the “black box” problem.

However, this transformation does not necessarily imply a complete transfer of power to machines. Rather, it points to the emergence of a “hybrid institutional system” in which human expertise and algorithmic analysis negotiate the definition of priorities and performance standards. **(Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 182)**

3. The Governance Imperative: When Does Artificial Intelligence Generate Communication Value?

The analytical reading concludes that the distinction between enhancement and threat is not determined by the technology itself, but rather by the institutional framework within which it is integrated. Artificial intelligence generates communication value when three conditions are met:

Human beings remain at the center of decision-making: Algorithms should remain support tools rather than **substitutes for human judgment, with deliberate human review of their** **(Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 182)**

Data and algorithmic governance: This should be ensured through clear policies governing data quality, auditing mechanisms, and internal accountability procedures **(Napoli, 2014, p. 350)**

Balancing metrics with values: Institutional decisions should not be reduced solely to what can be measured digitally; rather, professional standards and the pursuit of the public interest must remain priorities.

VI. Findings and Recommendations

First: Findings

In light of the theoretical analysis of the impact of artificial intelligence on communication performance within media channels, the following findings can be drawn:

Structural Transformation in Organizational Communication within Media Channels

The analysis demonstrated that the integration of artificial intelligence has not been limited to improving work tools; rather, it has reshaped information flows and patterns of internal coordination, reflecting a shift from a traditional administrative model toward a data-driven organizational model **(Napoli, 2014, p. 342)**

Enhancing the Efficiency of News Management

Artificial intelligence contributes to accelerating news-monitoring processes, improving editorial planning, and supporting resource allocation within the newsroom through real-time analytical systems capable of processing data with a high degree of efficiency The Evolution of Media Decision-Making toward a Data-Supported Model

Reliance on digital analytics has contributed to supporting the decision-making process and reducing the degree of uncertainty. However, this development does not eliminate the role of professional judgment; rather, it reshapes such judgment within a more complex information environment **(Miller, 2015, p. 298)**

Indirect Redistribution of Power within the Media Organization

The analysis indicates that algorithms do not exercise independent authority; rather, they influence the decision-making environment by determining or ranking possible alternatives. This, in turn, contributes to redefining the concept of communicative power within the media channel **(Carlson, 2015, p. 424)**

The Enhancement–Threat Paradox

It is evident that artificial intelligence represents an organizational lever when used as a support tool. However, it may become a source of threat when it becomes the primary reference for determining coverage priorities, potentially leading to the prioritization of digital logic over professional and value-based considerations **(Napoli, 2014, p. 350)**

Second: Recommendations

Based on the aforementioned findings, a set of practical and organizational recommendations can be proposed:

Establishing a “Hybrid Decision-Making” Model

A regulatory model should be adopted that integrates algorithmic analysis with human editorial judgment, ensuring that final responsibility for decision-making remains with editorial leadership.

Developing Artificial Intelligence Governance Policies within Media Channels

It is recommended that regulatory frameworks be established to define the areas in which artificial intelligence may be used, the mechanisms for auditing data, and the accountability procedures to be followed in the event of errors.

Strengthening Institutional Transparency

The mechanisms through which intelligent systems operate and their impact on the decision-making process should be disclosed internally. This would strengthen trust within the organization and prevent organizational ambiguity.

Developing Professional Competencies in Data Analysis

Digital transformation requires editorial leaders and journalists to be trained in understanding the logic of algorithms and analyzing digital indicators, so that they do not become passive recipients of the outputs generated by these systems.

Maintaining the Priority of Professional Standards

Media decisions should not be reduced solely to engagement and viewership metrics. Rather, the principle of the public interest and editorial independence should be preserved as two fundamental pillars of media practice.

Encouraging Applied Research in the Arab Context

The study recommends conducting field research within Arab media channels to investigate the practical impact of artificial intelligence on communication performance and decision-making, given the scarcity of applied studies in this field.

Conclusion

The analysis demonstrates that artificial intelligence is no longer merely a supportive technological tool within media channels; rather, it has become a structural component that is reshaping communication performance, news management, and media decision-making. Intelligent systems have contributed to accelerating the flow of information, enhancing the efficiency of internal coordination, and supporting editorial decisions with accurate quantitative data, thereby strengthening the capacity of media organizations to operate within a communication environment characterized by complexity and rapid change. However, despite the organizational advantages associated with this transformation, it simultaneously raises profound questions regarding the limits of reliance on algorithms, their impact on the independence of editorial decision-making, and the possibility that data-driven logic may take precedence over the professional and value-based standards that confer social legitimacy upon media practice.

Accordingly, improving communication performance within media channels in the age of artificial intelligence cannot be achieved merely through the integration of technology. Rather, it requires the development of a hybrid organizational model that strikes a balance between the computational rationality provided by intelligent systems and human editorial judgment grounded in experience and responsibility. Technology can enhance the quality of decision-making, but it cannot replace the ethical and contextual dimensions that constitute the essence of media practice. Therefore, the future of media channels in an advanced digital environment will remain contingent upon their ability to employ artificial intelligence as a tool for organizational support while preserving the central role of human beings as the ultimate guarantors of professionalism, credibility, and editorial independence.

References

1. Beckett, C. (2019). *New powers, new responsibilities: A global survey of journalism and artificial intelligence*. LSE Polis.
2. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age*. W. W. Norton.
3. Carlson, M. (2015). The robotic reporter. *Digital Journalism*, 3(3), 416–431. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2014.976412>
4. Couldry, N., & Hepp, A. (2017). *The mediated construction of reality*. Polity Press.
5. Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(1), 108–116.
6. Diakopoulos, N. (2019). *Automating the news*. Harvard University Press.
7. Domingo, D., & Paterson, C. (Eds.). (2011). *Making online news*. Peter Lang.
8. Gillespie, T. (2014). The relevance of algorithms. In Gillespie et al. (Eds.), *Media technologies* (pp. 167–194). MIT Press.
9. Graefe, A. (2016). *Guide to automated journalism*. Tow Center for Digital Journalism.
10. Küng, L. (2017). *Strategic management in the media* (2nd ed.). Sage.
11. Küng, L. (2020). *Hearts and minds: Harnessing leadership, culture and talent to really go digital*. Emerald.
12. Lewis, S. C., & Westlund, O. (2015). Big data and journalism. *Digital Journalism*, 3(3), 447–466.
13. McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's mass communication theory* (6th ed.). Sage.
14. Miller, K. (2015). *Organizational communication: Approaches and processes* (7th ed.). Cengage.
15. Montal, T., & Reich, Z. (2017). I, robot. You, journalist. *Digital Journalism*, 5(7), 829–849.

16. Napoli, P. M. (2014). Automated media. *Journal of Communication*, 64(3), 340–360.
17. Pavlik, J. V. (2000). The impact of technology on journalism. *Journalism Studies*, 1(2), 229–237.
18. Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.
19. Schudson, M. (2003). *The sociology of news*. W. W. Norton.
20. Shirky, C. (2008). *Here comes everybody*. Penguin.
21. Simon, H. A. (1997). *Administrative behavior* (4th ed.). Free Press.
22. Tushman, M. L., & O'Reilly, C. A. (1997). *Winning through innovation*. Harvard Business School Press.
23. Westlund, O., & Lewis, S. C. (2014). Agents of media innovations. *Journalism Practice*, 8(1), 10–19.
24. Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism*. PublicAffairs.
25. Van Dijck, J., Poell, T., & de Waal, M. (2018). *The platform society*. Oxford University Press.
26. Davenport, T. H., & Harris, J. G. (2007). *Competing on analytics*. Harvard Business School Press.
27. Castells, M. (2010). *The rise of the network society* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
28. Croteau, D., & Hoynes, W. (2014). *Media/society* (5th ed.). Sage.
29. Picard, R. G. (2011). *The economics and financing of media companies* (2nd ed.). Fordham University Press.
30. Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., & McAfee, A. (2014). *Leading digital*. Harvard Business Review Press.